

# The Optimality of A\*

A proof

Courtesy of Nate Rojas 2019

## Introduction

A\* is a wonderful algorithm. It has the great property that, when coupled with an admissible heuristic, it finds optimal solutions. Lets prove that optimality!

DISCLAIMER: I pulled this proof directly from an edX forum post that wrote (so you may have seen it before).

## Proof

TLDR;

A\* ensures optimality because all sub optimal paths will reach a cost that will be greater than some alternative. There is only one alternative which will get us to the goal node - an optimal path.

First some definitions:

- $s_k$  - a sub-path of length  $k$  of the sub-optimal goal path  $G_{sub}$
- $o_k$  - a sub-path of length  $k$  of the optimal goal path  $G_O$
- $C(P)$  - the *total* cost of path  $P$
- $h(P)$  - an admissible heuristic which estimates the cost from the last node in path  $P$  to the goal.
- $f(P) = C(P) + h(P)$  - is the cost estimate on path  $P$

Two lemmas:

1.  $h$  – admissible  $\Rightarrow \forall j : f(o_j) \leq C(G_O)$ . That is, the admissibility of  $h$  implies that the estimated cost of any  $o_j$  is always less than or equal to the total cost of the optimal path.
2.  $C(G_{sub}) > C(G_O) \Rightarrow \exists m : C(s_m) > C(G_O)$ . That is, the fact that the sub-optimal path has higher cost than the optimal path (by definition) implies that there exists some sub-path  $s_m$  of  $G_{sub}$  such that the total cost of  $s_m$  is greater than the cost of the optimal path. In other words, somewhere along the way the sub-optimal path will accrue "too much cost."

## Putting it all together

Lemmas 1 & 2 imply the following set up:

for some subpath  $s_m$  of any sub-optimal path  $G_{sub}$  we can form:

$$[C(s_m) > C(G_O) \geq f(o_j)] \Rightarrow [C(s_m) > f(o_j)] \Rightarrow [f(s_m) > f(o_j)]$$

The algorithm always chooses least cost ■

That is to say, the optimality of A\* is ensured because sub-optimal paths will always "saturate" before the goal node is reached and the algorithm will look elsewhere. This leaves us with the worst possible case: All sub-optimal paths are explored up to their "saturation" point before finally discovering the optimal goal path.